December 27, 2021

The Honorable Mark Stone P.O. Box 942849 Sacramento, CA 94249-0029

## **Dear Assembly Member Stone:**

I am reaching out to you as Chair of the Assembly Judiciary Committee since you will be integral in shaping upcoming legislation and oversight related to conservatorships and the Master Plan on Aging. The Judiciary Committee should also be aware of issues related to In Pro Per petitioners who are routinely denied equal access to the justice system, despite statutory requirements for affirmative assistance from the courts.

For the sake of full disclosure, I am currently the Legislative Analyst for the Humboldt County Department of Health and Human Services. I have worked for DHHS over 20 years now with much of that in child welfare clerical and as the Social Services Director's Analyst. I do NOT represent my department in this matter — I am reaching out to you as the daughter of an Alzheimer's patient and my experiences are definitely not unique.

For a super-short background (that I would love to expand on if you have the time) — my mom, Barbara L. Keller has Alzheimer's that we have seen coming on for years now. Her short-term memory is gone at this point and her medical provider (Open Door Community Health in Fortuna) signed the court forms required to declare a person legally incapacitated (GC-335 and GC-335A) in July of this year. What the family didn't see coming was her fifth husband (Ronald W. Keller) also losing his mental capacity quietly in the background — the extent of his paranoid delusions only became apparent too late. The pandemic has absolutely contributed to the rapid decline of both Mom and Ron which is a common story that we're seeing everywhere unfortunately.

My mom and Ron both have long-standing wills and a living trust which designated myself and/or my brother (Tim Jenkins) as their caretakers if and when the need arises. The need had certainly arrived and I applied for a conservatorship in June 2021 with my brother's full support. We had a stack of documentation such as the will and the trust so the conservatorship application should have been relatively straight forward and expeditious. I am doing this In Pro Per for the conservatorship petition but I am fluent in bureaucracy and paperwork which makes me a little better prepared for the process than the average family that also can't afford a high-priced attorney – nor should they have to.

The court investigator involved in my petition issued her report supporting the conservatorship and recommending the Judge assign council to represent my mom and protect the civil rights of

an Alzheimer's patient. The Judge never did that for some reason - no council has been assigned for my mom which should be standard practice for the proposed conservatee. Ron's family (previously totally unknown people) came forward represented by a local prominent attorney who specializes in elder financial fraud cases - Chris Johnson Hamer of Stokes, Hamer, Kird, & Eads, LLP. Attorney Hamer produced a fraudulent capacity declaration (attached) along with a legal form she had my mom sign on August 25<sup>th</sup> (same attachment) that gave the conservatorship to this previously unknown nephew of her fifth husband - despite my mom having been declared legally incapacitated back in July. Judge Timothy A. Canning of the Humboldt County Superior Court – who had refused to assign council to represent my mom – gave the conservatorship to the unknown family members in direct opposition to the declared wishes of my mom from her will and her living trust. It is inexplicable and I am pursuing any avenues available to me to oppose this however I am In Pro Per and the court has so far refused to take any official notice of the will or the living trust or any of the other documentation that I have provided. In Pro Per is simply ignored (treated as bothersome) and there is no affordable legal assistance that is practically available to families. Legal aide groups are great and they do good work but they are overburdened, underfunded and frankly these types of conservatorships aren't a priority for anyone except to the families.

However, this letter is NOT asking for your help with this individual case. What I am asking you for is to help all of the families like ours that are trying to do the right thing and help our loved ones but the courts and attorneys are operating to block equal access to the justice system for the unrepresented. There are statutes on the books that require affirmative assistance by the court for In Pro Per parties but they are routinely ignored without regard for any repercussions. After all, what is an unrepresented person going to do about it?

There is also the issue of no enforcement mechanism for the Elder Financial Fraud statutes that are on the books already. I have discovered that there is no agency or department that oversees this enforcement – it is left to the individuals and families to try and find assistance through the court system. If the court refuses to protect the vulnerable then who will do it? I have contacted the State Attorney General's office for Elder Fraud but was told they are only interested in Medi-Cal fraud or nursing home abuse – they had no other office to direct me to so I could file a report.

I would also draw your attention to the attachment which is the fraudulent Judicial Council form that was completed and signed by a Nurse Practitioner (NP) solely despite their not having signature authority without a countersignature by a physician or a psychologist. The recent passage of AB 890 (Wood) last year, which created an avenue for NP's to apply to the state (starting in 2023) for an expanded scope of practice, laid bare the strict regulations governing NP's and limitations over their current scope of practice. California has some of the strictest regulations over the authority of Nurse Practitioners in the country. The attorney

altered the Judicial Council form to add a box for "Nurse Practitioner" as having independent signature authority and they submitted this to the court who accepted it without question - despite my having pointed all of this out in my filings.

The day long conservatorship hearing that you recently chaired included some limited testimony of the family experience but there simply wasn't enough time in the day to include all of the issues that families are facing if they can't afford an attorney. The system is not designed to help families navigate it – it is instead a wall that they must find a way to climb. Couple this with a court system that literally ignores In Pro Per petitioners and the state has created a system that effectively prevents families from caring for their loved ones unless they have the means to hire an attorney.

The development of the Master Plan for Aging (MPA) in California is an opportunity to make real changes that will dramatically help the lives of Californians – both the elderly and their families. I am watching the Justice in Aging committee closely and will be looking for opportunities to provide information on what the legal system looks like for the individual and their families. This needs to be a core component of the MPA – families are the best resource available for their loved ones and it is mutually beneficial for the state to make that possible. As you know, there are not enough care providers or beds available to provide care for the number of Californian's who need it now and those numbers are only getting worse. The state cannot succeed with the goals of the Master Plan for Aging without relying heavily on the families and loved ones of vulnerable adults.

I would like the opportunity to work with you and other members of the legislature to make meaningful changes for the benefit of everyone and not just those with the financial means to manipulate the legal system to their benefit. I look forward to speaking with you and/or your staff to provide any and all information that I can to assist you going forward. We all have parents and loved ones who will need care at some point.

Regards,

Sharon L. Wolff

3 Painter St.

Rio Dell, CA 95562

(707) 599-9961

Sharon@riodelltimes.com

Cc: Assembly Judiciary Committee

		GC-335
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY STATE BAR NUMBER:	FOR COURT US	E ONLY
NAME: Chris Johnson Hamer (SBN 105752)		
FIRM NAME: STOKES, HAMER, KIRK & EADS, LLP		
STREET ADDRESS: 381 Bayside Road, Ste. A		1
crry: Arcata state: CA zip code: 95521		
TELEPHONE NO.: 707 - 822 - 1771 FAX NO.: 707 - 822 - 1901	,	
E-MAIL ADDRESS: Chris@shkklaw.com		
ATTORNEY FOR (name): ROYCE MENDONCA, Petitioner		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT		
STREET ADDRESS: 825 Fifth Street		
MAILING ADDRESS:		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Eureka, CA 95501		
BRANCH NAME:  CONSERVATORSHIP OF THE X PERSON X ESTATE OF (Name):		
BARBARA LYNN KELLER		
X CONSERVATEE PROPOSED CONSERVATEE		
CAPACITY DECLARATION-CONSERVATORSHIP "BY PAX"	CASE NUMBER:	
	PR2100162	
TO PHYSICIAN, PSYCHOLOGIST, OR RELIGIOUS HEALING		
The purpose of this form is to enable the court to determine whether the (proposed) conser		
A.  is able to attend a court hearing to determine whether a conservator should be app	ointed to care for him or he	er. The court
hearing is set for (date): . (Complete item 5, th	en sign and file page 1 of th	his form.)
B.  has the capacity to give informed consent to medical treatment. (Complete items 6	through 8, sign page 3, a	nd file pages 1
through 3 of this form.)		
C. A has a major neurocognitive disorder (such as dementia) and, if so, (1) whether he	or she needs to be placed i	n a secured-
perimeter residential care facility for the elderly, and (2) whether he or she needs of		
treatment of major neurocognitive disorders (including dementia). (Complete items		
GC-335A; sign and attach form GC-335A. File pages 1 through 3 of this form and a		,,,p.o.to ro
(If more than one item is checked above, sign the last applicable page of this form or, if iter		254
1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		DUA.
File page 1 through the last applicable page of this form; if item C is checked, file form GC-	SSSA as well.)	
COMPLETE ITEMS 1-4 OF THIS FORM IN EVERY CASE.		
GENERAL INFORMATION		
1. (Name): Heather Allen, NP Iris Health Medical Group		
2. (Office address and telephone number): 520 9th St Ste 240, Sacramento, CA 95814	(916) 231-4747	
3. lam		
a. a California-licensed physician psychologist acting within the sco	-	
with at least two years' experience in diagnosing and treating major neuroc		
b. an accredited practitioner of a religion that calls for reliance on prayer alone for		nservatee is an
adherent of my religion and is under my care. (Practitioner may make ONLY the	determination in item 5.)	
4. (Proposed) conservatee (name): BARBARA LYNN KELLER		
a. I last saw the (proposed) conservatee on (date): 08/16/2021		
b. The (proposed) conservatee  is is NOT a patient under my continuin	g treatment and care.	
ABILITY TO ATTEND COURT HEARING	_	
5. A court hearing on the petition for appointment of a conservator is set for the date indicate	ed in item A above. (Comp	lete a or b.)
a. The proposed conservatee is able to attend the court hearing.	•	,
b. Because of medical inability, the proposed conservatee is NOT able to attend the	e court hearing <i>(chèck all i</i> i	tems below
that apply)		<b></b>
_ ```		
(1) on the date set (see date in box in item A above).		
(2) or the foreseeable future.		
(3) until (date):	state the feets in Attach	vt
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	state the facts in Attachmen	ແ ວ. <i>)</i>
Alzheimers Disease, Neurocognitive disability		
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing	s true and correct	
	)	
Date: 08/24/2021 Heather Allen NP		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) SignNow e-signatur 08/24/2021 19:41:5	ID: d1997bd5e2 UŞIÇINATURE OF DECLARANT)	Page 1 of 3

			HIP OF TH		ERSON	X ESTA	TE OF (	Name):		CASE NUMBER: PR2100162
					ERVATEE	PR	OPOSED	CONSERV	ATEE	
i. E'	EVALUATION OF (PROPOSED) CONSERVATEE'S MENTAL FUNCTIONS									
	Note to practitioner: This form is <i>not</i> a rating scale. It is intended to assist you in recording your <i>impressions</i> of the (proposed) conservatee's mental abilities. Where appropriate, you may refer to scores on standardized rating instruments.									
	(Instructions for items 6A–6C): Check the appropriate designation as follows: $a = no$ apparent impairment; $b = moderate$ impairment; $c = major$ im									
A.	<ul> <li>A. Alertness and attention</li> <li>(1) Levels of arousal (lethargic, responds only to vigorous and persistent stimulation, stupor)</li> <li>a ✓ b  c  d  e  </li> </ul>									
	(2)	Orientati	on (types	of orientati	on impaire	ed)				
٠		а	b☑	c	d 🔲	е 🔲	Person	1		
		а	ь	c 🗹	d 🔲	e 🔲	Time (d	day, date, r	nonth, se	eason, year)
		а	b 🗀	c 🗀	ď∑b	е 🔲	Place (	address, to	own, state	e)
		а	b	c 🗀	d☑	е 🗀	Situation ("Why am I here?")			
	(3)	Ability to	attend and	d concentr	ate (give d	letailed ans e 🔲	wers from	memory, r	mental ab	ility required to thread a needle)
В.	Info	ormation	processin	g. Ability to	<b>o</b> :					
	(1) Remember (ability to remember a question before answering; to recall names, relatives, past presidents, and events of the past 24 hours)									
	i. Short-term memory a ☐ b ☐ c ☑ d ☐ e ☐									
			g-term me	•	a 🔲	ь	c 🔽	d □	e 🗀	
			nediate rec		а	b 🔽	c	d 🔲	e 🔲	
	<ul> <li>(2) Understand and communicate either verbally or otherwise (deficits reflected by inability to comprehend questions, follow instructions, use words correctly, or name objects; use of nonsense words)         <ul> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>c</li> <li>d</li> <li>e</li> </ul> </li> <li>(3) Recognize familiar objects and persons (deficits reflected by inability to recognize familiar faces, objects, etc.)</li> </ul>									
	(4)	a L Understa	b L and and ap	c <b>✓</b> preciate q	d 🔲 uantities (d	e 💶 deficits refle	ected by in	ability to p	erform sir	nple calculations)
	(5)	a 🔲	b 🔲	c 🗹	d ☐ ots (deficit	e 🔲	by inability	, to graen a	hetraet a	spects of his or her situation or to interpret
	(5)		-	ons or prov		e 🗀	by mabinity	, to grasp a	austract a	spects of his of her situation of to interpret
		(6) Plan, organize, and carry out actions (assuming physical ability) in one's own rational self-interest (deficits reflected by inability to break complex tasks down into simple steps and carry them out)  a b c d e								
	(7)	Reason a 🗀	logically b 🗔	с□	ď✓	e 🔲				
C.	The	ought disc			ست ۵	<b>-</b>				
		a 🔲	b 🛄	c 🗸	d 🔲	e 🔲	nonsensi	cal, incohe	rent, or n	onlinear thinking)
	(2)	Hallucina a 🗹	ations (aud b 🔲	litory, visua c 🗀	al, olfactor	y) e 🔲				
	(3)	Delusion	s (demons	trably false	e belief ma	aintained w	ithout or a	gainst reas	on or evi	dence)
	a  b c d e (4) Uncontrollable or intrusive thoughts (unwanted compulsive thoughts, compulsive behavior)									
	``,	a 🔲	ь	c 🗸	d □	e 🔲				

(Continued on next page)

CAPACITY DECLARATION-CONSERVATORSHIP

CEB Essential Forms

GC-335 [Rev. January 1, 2019]

	RVATORSHIP ARA LYNN		PERSO	ON X ESTA	TE OF (	Name):		CASE NUMBER: PR2100162	2		
			ONSERVA	ATEE 🔲 PR	OPOSED	CONSER	VATEE		_		
D. Al pe re (Ir	6(continued)  D. Ability to modulate mood and affect. The (proposed) conservatee has does NOT have a pervasive and persistent or recurrent emotional state that appears inappropriate in degree to his or her circumstances. (If so, complete remainder of item 6D.) I have no opinion.  (Instructions for item 6D): Check the degree of impairment of each inappropriate mood state (if any) as follows: a = mildly inappropriate; b = moderately inappropriate; c = severely inappropriate.										
Ar Fe	nger a \textsquare nxiety a \textsquare ear a \textsquare anic a \textsquare	b b b	c	Euphoria Depression Hopelessness Despair	a   a   a   a   a	b		Helplessness Apathy Indifference	a 🔲 a 🔲 a 🔲	b b	c 🔲 c 🔲
(1)	<ul> <li>E. The (proposed) conservatee's periods of impairment from the deficits indicated in items 6A-6D</li> <li>(1) do NOT vary substantially in frequency, severity, or duration.</li> <li>(2) do vary substantially in frequency, severity, or duration (explain; continue on Attachment 6E if necessary):</li> </ul>										
				·							
F. 🗀	(Optional) C symptomato		_		ion of the stated bel	· · -	<u></u> -	atee's mental fun in Attachment 6f		g., diagnosi	S,
<ul> <li>ABILITY TO CONSENT TO MEDICAL TREATMENT</li> <li>7. Based on the information above, it is my opinion that the (proposed) conservatee <ul> <li>a. □ has the capacity to give informed consent to any form of medical treatment. This opinion is limited to medical consent capacity.</li> <li>b. ☑ lacks the capacity to give informed consent to any form of medical treatment because he or she is either (1) unable to respond knowingly and intelligently regarding medical treatment or (2) unable to participate in a treatment decision by</li> </ul> </li> </ul>											
	means of a r	ational thou roposed) co	ght proces: nservatee'	s, <i>or both</i> . The sability to undent capacity.	deficits in rstand and	the menta d apprecia	al functions te the con	s described in ite sequences of me	m 6 abovedical de	e significar	ntly
8. Numbe	er of pages atta	ched: 0			(Declarar	it illust IN	ılıaı nere	if item 7b applie	Si		
8. Number of pages attached:  SignNow e-signature ID: 8c/67ae67 08/24/2021 19:41:51 UTC  I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.											
Date:											
08/24/20	21 He	ather Allen N	Р		_ •	(	Jah	VP			
	(T	YPE OR PRINT N	IAME)		•	S 0	ignNow e-signa 8/24/2021 19:4	ture ID: 664b87634c 1:51 GNATURE OF DEC	LARANT)		

,					GC-335/
		VATORSHIP OF THE RA LYNN KELLER	X PERSON	X ESTATE OF (Name):	CASE NUMBER: PR2100162
		X co	NSERVATEE .	PROPOSED CONSERVATEE	
				, <i>CAPACITY DECLARATIO</i> ATEE WITH A MAJOR NEU	<i>N-CONSERVATORSHIP,</i> JROCOGNITIVE DISORDER
9.	as dem		rrent edition of <i>Diagn</i>	HAS does NOT have ostic and Statistical Manual of I e (proposed) conservatee requi	a major neurocognitive disorder (such Mental Disorders. ires placement in a secured-perimeter
		residential care facility fo	r the elderly, please of tee needs or would b	complete items 9a(1)-9a(5).) enefit from placement in a restr	icted and secure facility because (state
		She is a flight risk and does cognitive ability to verbalize	n't have the cognitive at personal information er	oility to comprehend the dangers sh nsuring she could return to residenc	e may encounter. She doesn't have the e.
	(2)	(describe; continue on A	tachment 9a(2) if ned	essary):	ent in item 6 of form GC-335, include ment, inability to perform ADL's safely.
	•	The (proposed) con- mental function asso (proposed) conserva restricted and secur A locked or secured-perion	servatee does NOT hessed in item 6 of formatee's ability to underse environment.	n GC-335 and described in itemstand and appreciate the conse	is placement. d consent to this placement. The deficits in n 9a(2) above significantly impair the quences of giving consent to placement in a strictive environment appropriate to the
	b. 🔽	needs of the (proposed)  Administration of medi		osed) conservatee requires adm	ninistration of medications appropriate to the
	(1)	For the reasons stated in appropriate to the care a	item 9b(5), the (prop nd treatment of major	osed) conservatee needs or wo neurocognitive disorders (inclu titrated to therapeutic dose. Pt ma	ease complete items 9b(1)-9b(5).) uld benefit from the following medications ding dementia) (list medications; continue on y need additional medications if additional
	(2)	(describe; continue on Ai neurocognitive deficit and	tachment 9b(2) if nec disturbance in executive	essary):	ent in item 6 of form GC-335, include te, and sequence complex behavior. No or information.
	(3)		•		o the administration of medications
	(4)	The (proposed) cons medications appropri deficits in mental fur the (proposed) cons	servatee does NOT hat iate to the care and to ction assessed in iter ervatee's ability to und	reatment of major neurocognitiven 6 of form GC-335 and describ derstand and appreciate the co	d consent to the administration of e disorders (including dementia). The sed in item 9b(2) above significantly impair asequences of giving consent to the organitive disorders (including dementia).
	(5)	(discuss reasons; continued Aricept helps to slow dementary)	ne on Attachment 9b(somether of the function o		
10.	Numbe	r of pages attached:	0		
			r the laws of the State	e of California that the foregoing	is true and correct.
Dat	e: <sub>08/24/</sub>	2021 Heather A	ilen NP	\ Ua	LAP
		(TYPE OR PRINT N	la arri	SignNow	e-signature ID: 3b122bec45

1	Chris Johnson Hamer State Bar No. 105752					
2	STOKES, HAMER, KIRK & EADS, LLP					
3	381 Bayside Road, Suite A Arcata, CA 95521					
4	E-mail: chris@shkklaw.com Tel: (707) 822-1771					
5	Fax: (707) 822-1901					
6	Attorneys for Petitioner, ROYCE MENDONCA					
7						
8	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9	COUNTY OF	HUMBOLDT				
10						
11	In re the Conservatorship of )	CASE NO: PR2100162				
12	the Estate of:					
13	BARBARA LYNN KELLER. )	NOMINATION BY CONSERVATEE OF ROYCE MENDONCA AS THE				
14		CONSERVATOR OF HER PERSON AND ESTATE				
15	}					
16	}					
17						
18						
19	I, BARBARA LYNN KELLER, here	by nominate my nephew, ROYCE				
20	MENDONCA, as the conservator of m	y person and estate, and I				
21	hereby consent to a conservatorship over my person and estate.					
22		1				
23	DATED: August 25, 2021	arbara Lynn Keller				
24	BAI	RBARA LYNN KEBLER				
25						
26						
27		Attachment 3c(1)				
28		Attachment 30(1)				